



Challenges and Opportunities in Art Education Assessment Systems: A Comparative Review of China and Malaysia

Xiyue, Hu¹ & Zainudin, Zaheril^{1*}

¹*Faculty of Education and Liberal Studies, City University of Malaysia, MALAYSIA

*Corresponding author: dr.zaheril@city.edu.my

Received 02 May 2025; Accepted 10 June 2025; Available online 18 June 2025

Abstract: This paper presents a comparative review of the art education assessment systems in China and Malaysia, focusing on the challenges and opportunities faced by both countries. Art education plays a crucial role in fostering creativity, cultural identity, and critical thinking; however, assessing student performance in this field remains complex due to its subjective and expressive nature. In Malaysia, the implementation of classroom-based assessment in visual arts education faces several obstacles, including insufficient teacher training, inconsistent implementation, and the elective status of art subjects that diminishes their importance. Similarly, China's art education assessment system struggles with unclear evaluation standards, a strong emphasis on technical skills over creativity, and limited integration of digital tools in assessment practices. Despite these challenges, both nations show potential for progress. In Malaysia, the integration of digital modules has enhanced students' engagement and understanding in visual arts, signaling the benefits of innovative assessment approaches. In China, growing recognition of creativity and individual expression in educational policy offers an opportunity to reform traditional assessment methods. The study emphasizes the need for clearer guidelines, teacher capacity-building, and technology integration to create more effective and inclusive assessment systems. By examining the parallels and contrasts between China and Malaysia, this paper contributes to a deeper understanding of how art education assessment can evolve to meet the needs of 21st-century students, while respecting cultural and systemic differences. The findings aim to guide educators, policymakers, and researchers in developing more equitable and meaningful art education assessment practices.

Keywords: Art education, assessment systems, creativity, standardized testing, portfolio-based assessment

1. Introduction

Art education plays a crucial role in shaping students' artistic abilities and fostering creativity, both of which are essential for the development of well-rounded individuals (Kyomugisha, 2024). However, how art education is assessed has a profound impact on students' academic and artistic trajectories. In China, the system is heavily based on standardized examinations that assess students' technical proficiency in subjects such as drawing and color theory, but these assessments often fail to capture students' creative potential (Wang et al., 2024). In contrast, Malaysia's approach is more flexible, incorporating portfolio reviews and interviews, allowing students to express their individual artistic styles (Quan, 2025).

This paper aims to explore the strengths and weaknesses of the art education assessment systems in both countries, focusing on how these systems influence students' creativity, artistic development, and long-term engagement with the arts. By comparing the two systems, the study also seeks to identify opportunities for reform that can better integrate creativity and technical proficiency into the evaluation process.

2. Literature Review

Art education systems reflect the broader educational philosophies and cultural values of each country. In China, the emphasis has traditionally been on technical proficiency, with a focus on standardized testing as the primary mode of student evaluation. Previous research highlights that while this system ensures fairness and objective grading, it often fails to nurture creativity, as students are encouraged to adhere to strict guidelines and techniques rather than explore

their personal artistic expression (Liao, 2023). This focus on technical mastery often results in high-pressure environments that can hinder students' ability to innovate and engage with their art in meaningful ways.

Furthermore, an art education system fosters creativity, critical thinking, and emotional expression, essential for holistic student development. Research shows it enhances academic performance and cognitive skills (Tran et al., 2023). Art education also improves social-emotional learning, encouraging empathy and collaboration (Li and Qi, 2025). Exposure to the arts builds cultural awareness and self-confidence, preparing students for diverse careers and problem-solving roles in society (Khadija, 2024). Thus, integrating the arts is vital for a well-rounded, future-ready education.

In contrast, Malaysia's system of art education is more focused on creativity, with greater emphasis on portfolio-based evaluations and interviews. Research has shown that such systems can encourage creativity and self-expression but can also lead to issues of subjectivity in grading. The flexibility of portfolio assessments, while promoting individuality, raises concerns about consistency and fairness, as different evaluators may interpret artistic merit in varying ways (Othman et al., 2023).

This literature review underscores the need for a balanced approach to art education that fosters both technical skills and creative expression. As a result, the arts education system is vital for developing well-rounded individuals with artistic talent, compassion, and analytical abilities. It promotes not just academic accomplishment, but also interpersonal skills and cultural understanding. Furthermore, the integration of art improves students' abilities to cooperate and create, which are crucial for success in today's challenging surroundings. By drawing on existing studies from both countries, this paper will explore the potential benefits of integrating these two elements into the evaluation process.

3. Equations

This study employs a qualitative research design, using a comprehensive literature review to compare the art education assessment systems of China and Malaysia. Through an analysis of educational policies, academic articles, and reports, the study aims to identify the key components of each system that foster or limit creativity in students. The focus is on evaluating how these two assessment systems impact student outcomes, both in terms of artistic skills and overall student engagement with the arts.

While the study does not involve primary data collection such as surveys or interviews, it synthesizes findings from previous research as secondary data to draw findings about the effectiveness of such assessment systems. This methodology allows for an in-depth understanding of the theoretical implications of each system, offering valuable insights into the role of assessment in shaping student development in art education..

4. Online License Transfer

4.1 Challenges In Art Education Assessment Systems

Art education is crucial for developing students' creativity, critical thinking skills, and cultural understanding. However, assessing student achievement in art education presents specific challenges since it is essentially subjective, interpretative, and process oriented. Traditional assessment techniques, which frequently originate from academic disciplines with objective demands, fail to adequately assess art development, self-expression, and creative thinking. Thus, this paper reveals several challenges as follows:

1. **Standardized Testing in China:** One of the primary challenges in China's art education system is its over-reliance on standardized exams that focus primarily on technical proficiency. These exams assess students on their ability to replicate techniques such as drawing, shading, and color application. While these exams ensure a uniform and objective grading system, they fail to recognize or foster creativity (Zhong, 2024). Students are expected to adhere to strict technical guidelines, often at the expense of their artistic expression and originality. This reliance on technical exams may discourage students from exploring new artistic ideas, as they are incentivized to follow conventional methods that meet exam criteria.
2. **Subjectivity in Malaysia's Portfolio-Based Assessments:** Malaysia's art education system, in contrast, places a significant emphasis on student creativity, evaluating students through portfolio reviews and live assessments. While this allows students to demonstrate their artistic individuality, the subjectivity involved in grading portfolios presents challenges (Subramaniam et al., 2022). Different evaluators may have varying interpretations of what constitutes "good art," leading to inconsistencies in evaluation. This subjectivity can result in biased grading, which may undermine the fairness and transparency of the evaluation process. Additionally, the lack of standardized grading criteria across institutions raises concerns about whether students are assessed on a level playing field.
3. **Cultural Context and Influence:** Both countries' educational systems are influenced by cultural values. In China, the emphasis on technical proficiency reflects a cultural respect for discipline, precision, and conformity (Lin and Weatherly, 2024). However, this focus on technical mastery can limit students' artistic freedom and stifle creative expression. Conversely, Malaysia's more flexible system, which encourages creativity, may be seen as an attempt to align with global educational trends that prioritize innovation and individual expression. Yet, the subjectivity in portfolio-based assessments undermines the ability of the system to be as consistent and fair as it could be (Ahmad, 2017).

4.2 Opportunities for Reforming Art Education

Reforming art education provides significant opportunity to boost innovative thinking, creativity, and involvement of students in today's educational system. As educational institutions advance, including technological advances, multidisciplinary methods, and multidisciplinary practices into art teaching might enhance learning outcomes and increase accessibility. Digital technology resources and platforms provide new opportunities to produce and evaluate art, promoting individualized and interactive instructional settings. Furthermore, acknowledging art's significance in fostering emotional intelligence and understanding of cultures places it as an essential component of an integrated education. Such opportunities highlight the significance of reforming art education to be more in line with contemporary goals for education. Thus, this paper reveals several opportunities as follows:

1. **Balancing Creativity and Technical Proficiency in China:** A significant opportunity for reform in China's art education system lies in finding a more balanced approach that combines technical proficiency with creativity. This could involve revising the current examination system to include creative components, such as open-ended assignments that require students to explore new artistic ideas and express personal creativity while still demonstrating technical competence. Additionally, reducing the emphasis on high-stakes exams could decrease student stress, allowing for a more exploratory approach to learning and creativity.
2. **Improving Consistency in Malaysia's Portfolio Assessments:** For Malaysia, one key opportunity for reform lies in improving the consistency and fairness of portfolio assessments. While portfolios encourage creativity, the subjective nature of these assessments poses a challenge. Implementing clearer guidelines and rubrics for portfolio reviews could standardize the evaluation process and reduce the potential for bias. Providing regular training for evaluators could help reduce bias and ensure that all students are assessed according to the same criteria, improving the overall fairness and transparency of the process.

Integration of Technology in Art Education Assessment: Both China and Malaysia could benefit from incorporating technology into their art education assessments. Digital platforms could be used to create and assess digital portfolios, allowing for more dynamic and consistent evaluation. Technology could also be used to track students' progress over time, enabling a more comprehensive view of their artistic development. By integrating digital tools into the assessment process, both countries could improve the objectivity and efficiency of their evaluations while still allowing students the creative freedom to express themselves.

5. Discussions

The comparative analysis of China and Malaysia's art education systems reveals the strengths and weaknesses of each. China's standardized exams ensure a fair and objective evaluation of technical skills but may limit creativity. Malaysia's portfolio-based system encourages creativity but is hindered by subjectivity in grading. Both systems have valuable elements that could be improved to better balance technical proficiency with creative expression.

Furthermore, a comparative analysis of art education assessment systems in China and Malaysia indicates both common obstacles and distinct chances for development. In Malaysia, the emphasis on classroom-based evaluation in visual arts education confronts challenges such as teacher readiness and the subject's elective status, which might diminish its perceived value (Lee, 2021). Similarly, China's art education system faces challenges such as uneven assessment standards and the underutilization of new technology in evaluation procedures (Lai and Kway, 2023).

Implementing reforms that integrate creativity into China's examinations, standardize grading in Malaysia's portfolio reviews, and incorporate technology into both systems could address some of the challenges identified in this study. By adopting these reforms, both countries could create more supportive environments for student development in the arts.

6. Conclusions

This study offers a comparative analysis of the art education assessment systems in China and Malaysia, highlighting the primary characteristics, challenges, and opportunities inherent in each system. China's standardized examination system emphasizes technical skills, ensuring objectivity and consistency in assessments. However, it may have limitations in fostering student creativity. Conversely, Malaysia's portfolio-based assessment approach prioritizes creative expression, encouraging individualized development. Yet, the subjectivity involved in evaluations may lead to concerns regarding consistency and fairness.

1. **Balancing Technical Skills and Creativity:** Both countries should strive to balance technical proficiency with creativity in their assessment systems. China could incorporate open-ended tasks into standardized exams to encourage students to demonstrate personal creativity while maintaining technical competence. Malaysia might establish clearer assessment criteria to reduce subjectivity, ensuring fairness in evaluations.
2. **Integration of Standardization and Flexibility:** Combining standardized methods with flexibility is crucial. China can maintain standardized technical assessments while integrating evaluations of students' creative works.

Malaysia could introduce more objective standards within portfolio assessments to enhance consistency and fairness.

3. Cultural Adaptability: Considering the distinct cultural contexts, assessment systems should be culturally adaptable. China can incorporate innovative elements into traditional art education, respecting cultural heritage. Malaysia might design inclusive assessment standards that reflect cultural diversity within its educational framework.
4. Application of Technology: Utilizing modern technological tools, such as digital assessment platforms, can improve efficiency and transparency. Both countries could explore technology applications suited to their national contexts to enhance the quality and effectiveness of art education assessments.

In summary, while China and Malaysia each possess unique strengths and face specific challenges in their art education assessment systems, there is potential for mutual learning and improvement. This comparative review of China and Malaysia's art education assessment systems exposes both difficult issues and potential prospects. Both nations confront challenges such as uneven evaluation standards, inadequate teacher preparation, as well as devaluation of the arts. However, future developments, such as digitization in Malaysia and increased acknowledgment of innovation in China, provide opportunities for substantial transformation. To enhance art education, both countries must emphasize clear systems for assessment, promote professional development, and encourage innovative strategies. Strengthening such systems will not only boost educational quality, but will also foster innovative thinking, cultural self-identification, and student engagement in an extensive range of settings. By integrating the strategies, both nations can develop more balanced and effective assessment frameworks that support comprehensive student development in art education.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the City University of Malaysia for its support and contribution to this research.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Ahmad, H. H. (2017). An overview of the Malaysian higher education issues and challenges. *MOJEM: Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Management*, 2(1), 10-19. Retrieved from <https://ajba.um.edu.my/index.php/MOJEM/article/view/6111>
- Asiimwe Kyomugisha, T. (2024). The impact of art-based learning on curriculum enhancement. *Newport International Journal of Current Issues in Arts and Management*, 5(3), 26-30. DOI:10.59298/NIJCIAM/2024/5.3.26300.
- Bin Ponijan, A. S. A., bin Mat, M. F., & Leong, S. N. A. (2019). The Visual Arts Education Crisis in Malaysia: Placement of Students into the Arts Curricular Stream at the Upper Secondary Level in Malaysian Secondary Schools. *Journal of Visual Art and Design*, 11(2): 79-92. DOI: 10.5614/j.vad.2019.11.2.1
- Che, R., & Yin, Y. (2018). Historical investigation and reflection on the reform of art admissions examinations in colleges and universities in my country. *Education and Examination*, 3, 58-62. DOI: 10.16391/j.cnki.jyks.2018.03.010
- Fan, F. J. (2022). Current situation analysis and countermeasure research on the enrollment supervision mechanism of higher art colleges. *Industry and Technology Forum*, 17, 265-267. DOI:10.54097/d469v064
- Lai, Y., & Kway, E. H. (2025). The influence of assessment criteria on students' results in art and design learning in higher colleges in Jiangxi, China. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 15(2), 767-779. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v15-i2/24765>
- Lee, H. Y. (2021). Challenges faced by visual art education with the existence of classroom-based assessment in primary schools within the district of Hilir Perak. *Kupas Seni: Jurnal Seni dan Pendidikan Seni*, 9(1), 5-20. <https://doi.org/10.37134/kupasseni.vol9.1.5.2021>
- Li, J. & Qi, Y. (2025). Arts education and its role in enhancing cognitive development: A quantitative study of critical thinking and creativity in higher education. *Cognitive Development*, 74, 1-7. DOI:10.1016/j.cogdev.2025.101544
- Liao, J. (2023). Path analysis of integrating excellent traditional Chinese culture into the art education curriculum of colleges and universities. *Region - Educational Research and Reviews*, 5(5), 5-10. DOI: 10.32629/rerr.v5i5.1429
- Lin, Y. & Weatherly, K. I. C. H. (2024). Standardizing Yikao: An analysis of the reform in Arts College Entrance Examination (ACEE) in China in 2024. *International Journal of Music Education*, 8, 1-13. DOI:10.1177/02557614241269062

Othman, N. F., Zahri, N. N., Maaruf, S. Z., Kamal, A. A. & Raja Abdul Rahman, R. I. S. (2023). Elevating students' interest in learning visual art education (VAE): Exploring issues on barriers and challenges. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 12(3), 1472-1479. DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v12-i3/19198

Quan, W. (2025). A study of academic adaptation of Chinese art and design students studying in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 4(1), 1434-1453. DOI:10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i1/24677

Subramaniam, M., Wardi, R. H., Ghazali, R., Kahn, S. M., Yahaya, S. R., Ismail, I. M. & Sherazi, S. M. A. (2022). A transformative approach in Malaysian art education: Revisiting. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(5), 7654-7661. Retrieved from <https://journalppw.com/index.php/jpsp/article/view/8833>

Tran, N., Do, K. & Dang, N. (2023). Students' views of art education as their future career. *The Asian Conference on Arts & Humanities 2023 Official Conference Proceedings*, 27-35. DOI:10.22492/issn.2186-229X.2023.4

Wang, J., Mokmin, N. & Ji, S. (2024). Enhancing higher education art students' learning experience through virtual reality: A comprehensive literature review of product design courses. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 32, 1 doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2024.2315125